Our Experience of Nonoperative Management in Patients with Liver Injury Due to Multiple Blunt Trauma
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Aim: There is still no consensus on nonoperative management (NOM) for the treatment of patients with liver injury due to multiple trauma. In this study, we aimed to present our experience in patients who underwent NOM in our hospital due to liver injury resulting from blunt trauma.

Patients and Methods: In this study, a total of 104 patients who presented to our hospital with liver injury due to multiple trauma were retrospectively evaluated. Patients with successful NOM and those who underwent laparotomy due to failure of NOM were grouped.

Results: All of the 104 patients had solid organ injury due to blunt abdominal trauma, and 58 of these had thorax trauma. NOM was successfully performed in 94 patients with liver injury due to blunt abdominal trauma. The treatment was converted to surgery in 10 patients during conservative follow-up. According to injury grades; 35 patients were graded as Grade 1, 23 patients as Grade 2, 24 patients as Grade 3, and 12 patients as Grade 4. Data of 10 patients with liver trauma and NOM failed were compared with those of the NOM group.

Conclusion: The development of complications increases in patients with high-grade injury or those accompanied by thorax trauma. The rate of complications is high in patients who receive NOM in Grade 4 injury.
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INTRODUCTION
Thoracic trauma is also present in approximately 50% of patients presenting with multiple blunt abdominal trauma (1). Nonoperative management (NOM) application is current approach in patients with solid organ injury due to blunt abdominal trauma, and especially in patients that are hemodynamically stable and have no acute abdominal findings (2, 3). With the recent improvements in intensive care conditions, imaging modalities and interventional radiologic procedures, success rate of conservative approach in traumas has increased (2). Conservative treatment approaches have been developed in hemodynamically stable patients, particularly with the use of computed tomography (CT). It is known that the rates of morbidity and mortality are lower with conservative treatment approach compared to operative treatment. However, while NOM is performed in hemodynamically stable patients with all injury grades, there is no data on treatment management and limits of follow-up process according to injury grades (4). In this study, we aimed to present our experience in patients with liver injury due to blunt trauma who were treated and followed-up in our hospital, which is the center with highest number of trauma presentations in Konya province of Turkey.

PATIENTS AND METHODS
The study was performed with the approval received from Necmettin Erbakan University Meram Faculty of Medicine, Non-interventional Clinical Trials Ethics Committee (Decision No: 2012/156). In this study, a total of 104 patients who presented to our hospital with liver injury due to multiple trauma and received NOM were retrospectively evaluated. Patients who received NOM were selected according to being hemodynamically stable (including those who were stable after the first resuscitation) and having no findings of peritoneal irritation. NOM failed in 10 patients and laparotomy was performed in these patients. Our criteria for laparotomy were unstable hemodynamics despite adequate resuscitation and presence of peritoneal irritation findings. Patients with successful NOM and those who underwent laparotomy due to failure of NOM were grouped. Our patients were graded according to the American Trauma Society Liver Injury Scoring System. Patients' demographic data, mechanism of trauma, presence of additional trauma, hemodynamics status at presentation, injury grade on CT, need for blood and blood products during hospitalization, need for laparotomy, duration of hospitalization, need for intensive care, laboratory values at first admission including hemoglobin, hematocrit, leukocyte count, alanine aminotransferase (ALT), aspartate aminotransferase (AST), alkaline phosphatase, gamma-glutamyl transferase, determination of oral feeding time, Glasgow Coma Scale, injury severity score and presence of additional trauma were recorded and evaluated.

After abdominal examination, patients underwent Focused Assessment Sonography for Trauma (FAST) in the emergency department. Patients with thorax trauma were followed up together with the thoracic diseases clinic. All patients were evaluated with CT during first diagnosis stage. Patients who received NOM were closely followed-up after the first assessment. Patients with a trauma in addition to thorax trauma were directly admitted to and monitored in the intensive care unit. Abdominal examination, hemogram and liver function tests were performed at the hours 0, 1, 6 and 24 after the hospitalization. Patients who required continuous fluid resuscitation were evaluated by the department of interventional radiology for transarterial embolization (TAE). Patients with failed procedure or those evaluated as ineligible and patients who developed findings of peritoneal irritation were excluded from the conservative treatment group and taken to operation. Oral feeding was initiated at the end of the 24th hour in the patients who were hemodynamically stable and had a normal abdominal examination. Hemogram and liver function tests were performed once a day. Patients with normal vital findings and physical examination were discharged.

Bed rest was recommended for 2 weeks in patients with Grade 1, 3 weeks in patients with Grades 2 and 3, and at least 6 weeks in patients with Grade 4. Outpatient clinic controls were performed 3 days after the discharge. Patients with respiratory complaints at follow up were evaluated by thoracic diseases clinic. Vital findings were evaluated and recorded. In addition, all patients were called for controls in the 1st, 3rd and 6th months, and evaluated with anamnesis and physical examination. Patients with complaints during these evaluations were investigated.

Statistical Analysis
Data obtained in the study was analyzed using SPSS (Statistical Package for Social Sciences) for Windows v. 21 statistical software. Kruskal-Wallis, Chi-square, Mann-Whitney U and Tukey HSD tests were used for the confirmation. Differences between
the groups according to the data obtained were tabulated. p<0.05 values were considered statistically significant.

RESULTS

A total of 104 patients with liver injury due to blunt abdominal trauma were evaluated. NOM was successfully performed in 94 patients. Conservative treatment was left and surgical exploration was performed in 10 patients who received NOM. According to injury grades; 35 patients were graded as Grade 1, 23 patients as Grade 2, 24 patients as Grade 3, and 12 patients as Grade 4. Data of 10 patients with liver trauma and NOM failed were compared with those of the NOT group. The mean age was 31.04 years in patients who received NOM and 27.90 years in patients with failed NOM. The mean age was higher in the patients who received NOM (p>0.05). When patients were evaluated in terms of gender; 26 (27.7%) of patients with liver trauma who received NOM was female and 68 (72.3%) were male. Of the patient with failed NOM, 1 (10%) was female and 9 (90%) were male. Most patients were male in both groups (p>0.05) (Table 2).

Table 1. American Trauma Society Liver Injury Scoring System

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>GRADE</th>
<th>Hematoma</th>
<th>Laseration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Subcapsular, not increasing, lower than 10% of surface area</td>
<td>Capsular rupture, not bleeding, parenchymal depth less than 1 cm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Subcapsular, not increasing, 10-50% of surface area</td>
<td>Capsular rupture, active bleeding, parenchymal depth 1-3 cm, length &lt;10 cm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Subcapsular, higher than 50% of surface area or increasing Active bleeding subcapsular hematoma rupture, hematoma greater than 2 cm or growing intraparenchymal hematoma</td>
<td>Parenchymal damage involving parenchymal depth higher than 3 cm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Active bleeding ruptured intraparenchymal hematoma</td>
<td>Parenchymal damage involving 25-50% of liver lobe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Juxta hepatic vein injuries such as vascular retrohepatic vena cava or common hepatic veins</td>
<td>Parenchymal damage involving &gt; 50% of liver lobe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Hepatic avulsion</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*raise 1 grade up to grade 3 for multiple injuries.

Table 2. Comparison of patients with liver trauma who received NOM and those with failed NOM.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Not n=94</th>
<th>Not Failed n=10</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean age</td>
<td>31.04</td>
<td>27.90</td>
<td>p&gt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>p&gt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>72.3%</td>
<td>90%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>F</td>
<td>F</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>27.7%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hemodynamics</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Status (first evaluation)</td>
<td>Stable</td>
<td>Stable</td>
<td>p&lt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hemodynamics</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BP mmHg</td>
<td>118 mmHg</td>
<td>108.4 mmHg</td>
<td>p&lt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pulse/min</td>
<td>83/dk</td>
<td>94.4/dk</td>
<td>p&lt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GCS(median)</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>P&lt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ISS(median)</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>36</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hemoglobin(g/dL)</td>
<td>12.8</td>
<td>12.06</td>
<td>p&gt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hematocrit (%)</td>
<td>38.28</td>
<td>34.8</td>
<td>p&gt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leukocytes (K/mm³)</td>
<td>12.9</td>
<td>18.45</td>
<td>p&lt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amount of Blood transfusion(U)</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>p&lt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length of stay in intensive care unit (days)</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>6.30</td>
<td>p&lt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total length of stay in hospital (days)</td>
<td>4.05</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>p&lt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AST U/L</td>
<td>142.3 (20-424)</td>
<td>174.6(38-566)</td>
<td>P&gt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALT U/L</td>
<td>138.5 (35-448)</td>
<td>210.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T.Bilirubine g/dl</td>
<td>1,1(1-1,4)</td>
<td>2,13(0,9-5,5)</td>
<td>p&lt;0.05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
When patients successfully treated with NOM were evaluated according to the mechanisms of liver trauma; pedestrian injury (PI) was found as the mechanism of trauma in 4 (4.3%) patients, motor vehicle accident (MVA) in 57 (60.6%) patients, motorcycle accident in 21 (22.2%) patients, and falling from height in 12 (12.8%) patients. When the mechanisms of trauma were evaluated in patients with failed NOM; PI was found in 3 (30%) patients, MVA in 4 (40%) patients, and motor vehicle accident in 3 (30%) patients. The most common mechanism of trauma was MVA (p<0.05) (Table 2). In addition to liver trauma, extra-abdominal traumas included thorax trauma in 58 (55.7%) patients, extremity trauma in 7 (6.7%) patients, and head trauma in 11 (10.5%) patients. In addition to liver trauma, retroperitoneal hematoma was found in 2 (1.9%) patients, and spleen injury in 11 (11.7%) patients (highest Grade 2). Among the patients with failed NOM, additional spleen trauma was found in 2 (20%) patients (highest Grade 2), and retroperitoneal hematoma in 3 (30%) patients. In addition to abdominal traumas, extra-abdominal traumas included thorax in 5 (50%) patients, extremity trauma in 1 (10) patient, and head trauma in 1 (10%) patient in this group. The most common trauma in addition to liver trauma was found as thorax trauma in patients who received NOM. Among the thorax traumas, the most common trauma was pneumothorax followed by rib fracture (p>0.05).

In the hemodynamics evaluation during the first presentation, patients who received NOM were hemodynamically more stable than those with failed NOT (p<0.05). Glasgow Coma Scale (GCS) scores were higher in NOM patients compared to the patients with failed NOM. Injury severity score (ISS) and the rate of blood transfusion were lower in the patients who received NOM. The mean lengths of stay in hospital and in the intensive care unit were found as 0.96 and 4.05, respectively, in patients with liver trauma who received NOM. The mean lengths of stay in hospital and in the intensive care unit were found as 6.30 and 13 days, respectively, in patients with failed NOM. Six (60%) of the patients with failed NOM were operated due to peritoneal irritation findings, and 4 (40%) due to hemodynamic instability. Active bleeding was observed in 3 of the patients operated due to hemodynamic instability. Injury grade based on CT was operatively higher in these patients. It was found in one patient that bleeding was stopped and hemodynamic instability was developed due to insufficient resuscitation. Injury grade was operatively found as Grade 4 in all these patients. Homeostasis was achieved with packing in 3 patients with active bleeding (Figure 1). Biliary peritonitis was found in 3 of the 6 patients with peritoneal irritation findings who underwent laparotomy. In addition to peritoneal irritation findings, among laboratory outcomes total bilirubin and direct bilirubin values were increased, but hemoglobin and hematocrit values were not significantly decreased in these three patients. Among the remaining three patients, right colon perforation was observed in two patients and jejunum perforation in one patient. Complications were observed in 4 (4.25%) of the patient who received NOM, before discharge.
One patient with bilioma and biliary leak was treated with percutaneous drainage + ERCP-stent and antibiotherapy, and the other patient who developed liver abscess with percutaneous drainage + antibiotherapy. Liver injury grade was found as Grade 3 and Grade 4 in these complicated patients. Hemobilia and melena was applied 2 patients with TAE. There was no postoperative complication after TAE (Figure 2). None of the patients with failed NOM developed complication.

DISCUSSION

Although there are no clear data about the relationship between liver injury grade and NOT failure, it has been underlined that hemodynamics status is important rather injury grade (5-8). Hemodynamic instability accounts for 75% of NOM failure. Delayed bleeding seen between 2.8% and 3.5% is still reported as the most important cause of mortality (9). Emergency surgical approach has been replaced by hepatic angioembolization in some centers. However, herein experience of the center, emergency conditions, and availability of a radiologist who can perform vascular interventions affect practicability of the procedure (10). In our study, angioembolization was performed to stop GIS bleeding developed in two patients followed up with NOM (Figure 2). The reasons for our decision of surgery in patients with failed NOM were hemodynamic instability and peritoneal irritation findings. Transarterial embolization was a treatment method, which we preferred according to general status of hemodynamically unstable patients. Angiographic embolization was not considered because of the general status of the patients who underwent surgery. We believe that inadequate resuscitation was one of the reasons of impaired hemodynamics in our patients with bleeding stopped. In blunt trauma related organ injuries, NOM is recommended in hemodynamically stable patients without peritoneal irritation findings in centers with facilities of serial physical examination, hemoglobin-hematocrit monitorization and emergency laparotomy (11, 12). Although it has been reported in the literature that hemoglobin and hematocrit measurements should be made at hours 0, 6, 8 and 24 from the first admission, there is no clear approach for frequency of hemoglobin-hematocrit measurement, frequency of physical examination, need for intensive care, time of beginning to oral intake and duration of resting in the forthcoming periods (3). In our study, hemoglobin and hematocrit values of the patients who received NOM were made at the hours 0, 1, 6 and 24. These values were measured once a day within the other days. We believe that measurements with this frequency are ideal. We recommend to perform physical examination at the hours 0, 1, 6, 12 and 24 within the first 24 hours and twice a day in the following days. Oral foods were given from the 24th hour in hemodynamically stable patients with normal mental status and abdominal examination. Follow up of the Grade 1, 2, 3 and 4 injuries were performed under intensive care conditions.

Surgical exploration is of first priority in hemodynamically unstable patients and those with peritoneal irritation findings (11). In addition, explorative surgical treatment should be considered in the cases of suspected perforation and increased abdominal pain (12). In a study, empty organ perforation was reported by 0.3% in 227,972 patients with blunt abdominal trauma (13). In our study, intestinal perforation was found as 30% of the patients with failed NOM and 2.8% in all patients with liver injury due to blunt trauma. While in our patients empty organ injuries were seen in low-grade injuries, biliary peritonitis was found in high-grade liver traumas. CT is an inevitable technique in the arrangement of diagnosis and treatment in patients with blunt abdominal trauma. Pneumoperitoneum is the most helpful techniques for clinicians to show retroperitoneal bleedings and the amount of intraperitoneal hemorrhage (14, 15, 19). It has been recommended that CT is not necessary during routine follow-up, but CT control should be performed in the cases of jaundice, unexplained sudden decreases in hemoglobin values, elevated transaminases and constantly increasing abdominal pain (17, 18). In the literature, some authors have reported that they use CT in the follow-up of patients who received NOM, while the others stated that they perform CT control in Grade 3 and higher injuries (19). There are studies reporting that repeated CT examinations do not change treatment or studies reporting that these examination must be definitely performed for the detection of pseudoaneurysms in Grade 2 and higher traumas (20, 21). In our study, CT was used in the first diagnosis of the patients following FAST. Routine imaging was not performed in our patients before the discharge. We recommend to perform CT controls in patients with a significant decrease in hemoglobin-hematocrit values despite adequate resuscitation, unexplained abdominal pain, and those with GIS bleeding such as melena, hemobilia, and hematemesis detected.
Biochemically elevated bilirubin values despite not observing decrease in hemoglobin values within the first 24 hours of the trauma in patients who underwent laparotomy with failed NOM and biliary peritonitis suggests biliary leak.

Need for resuscitation in order to maintain hemodynamic stability, high injury grade, multiple solid organ injury, large hemoperitoneum and contrast leak on CT have been reported as the factors increasing NOM failure. It has been stated that these findings may lead to need for angioembolization in addition to NOM or laparotomy (22). Furthermore, the success rate has been reported as high in Grades 4-5 injuries (4, 23, 24). In our patients, the causes of the development of complications and NOM failure were found as high grades of injury and the need for continuous resuscitation. Multiple solid organ injuries were more common in patients with failed NOM. Among hemodynamically unstable patients, those with Grade 4 trauma should be more carefully followed up in terms of complications.

Bilioma, liver abscess, hemobilia, biliary peritonitis and hemoperitoneum may be seen in patients with liver trauma receiving NOM (25-27). The rate of complications has been reported as 1% in Grade 3 injuries, 21% in Grade 4 injuries, and 63% in Grade 5 injuries (27-29). Complications of biliary tract injuries are manifested later in clinical follow-up, and biliary peritonitis, bilioma, and sepsis have reported in 3.2% of all liver injuries (25, 27). It has been recommended that ERCP+stent+percutaneous drainage can be performed in high flow rate peripheral localization, and laparoscopic intra-abdominal irrigation can be carried out in order to decrease biliary sepsis if biliary leak is controlled after ERCP+stent+percutaneous drainage (30-32). It has been reported that intrahepatic abscess develops by 4% and causes mortality by 10% in all liver traumas (29, 33). In our study, complications such as bilioma and liver abscess developed in 2 patients were treated with percutaneous drainage, ERCP and stenting. Consistently with the literature, injury grades were found as Grade 3 and 4 in the complicated patients.

There is a severe thorax trauma in 50% of patients with multiple trauma (1). In our study, the most finding in addition to liver trauma was found as thorax trauma in the patients who received NOM. In a series of 1642 patients by Ozcelik et al. on thorax trauma, pneumothorax was reported as 41.6% (34). Rib fracture is seen in 21% of blunt thorax trauma cases (35). In our study also pneumothorax and rib fractures were most commonly seen events among thorax traumas (p>0.05). Thorax traumas were seen in 5 (50%) patients who underwent surgery and in 53 (56%) patients who received conservative treatment. No significant difference was observed between the two groups (p=0.475).

CONCLUSION

In conclusion; patients with blunt abdominal trauma are most commonly accompanied by thorax trauma. NOM is a current approach in the treatment of blunt abdominal trauma. NOM should be performed in the centers with facility of radiologic procedures, and intensive care conditions. The development of complications increases as injury grade increases. Control imaging examinations should be performed in order to determine complications timely in the cases of NOM carried out in Grade 4 injuries.
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